UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,096	05/18/2007	Sergej Lopatin	LOPA3009/FJD	1266
23364 BACON & TH	7590 07/23/200 OMAS, PLLC	EXAMINER		
625 SLATERS LANE			ROGERS, DAVID A	
FOURTH FLO ALEXANDRIA	or a, VA 22314-1176		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2856	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/583,096	LOPATIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DAVID A. ROGERS	2856				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ma	av 2009					
· <u> </u>	· 					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are pending in the application) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application					
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-19</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 October 2008 and 11 May 2009</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the						
Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:						
гарен но(э/ниан Date 0) □ Other						

Application/Control Number: 10/583,096 Page 2

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

1. The indicated allowability of claims 11-20 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) as noted below. The Office apologizes to applicant for any inconvenience. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 12, 13-16, 16, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by United States Patent 6,647,786 to Ohta *et al*.

Ohta *et al.* describes a known mechanical oscillator (reference item 51) having oscillating arms (reference items 52 and 53). The oscillator is mounted to a "stem" (reference item 55) via a support arm (considered to be a "flange") (reference item 57) and substrate member (reference item 56). The support arm and substrate member constitute a securing member for the oscillator. On the "stem" is a circuit (reference item 60) for driving the oscillator and for detecting a signal from the oscillator. The above arrangement is a "securement" for the oscillator and a "force detection unit" that is coupled to the "securement" and for detecting the reaction forces; e.g. transmitted vibrations, from the oscillating unit.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2856

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohta *et al.* in view of United States Patent 6,698,287 to Kubena *et al.*

Ohta *et al.* describes a known mechanical oscillator (reference item 51) having oscillating arms (reference items 52 and 53). The oscillator is mounted to a "stem" (reference item 55) via a support arm (reference item 57) and substrate member (reference item 56). The support arm and substrate member constitute a securing member for the oscillator. On the "stem" is a circuit (reference item 60) for driving the oscillator and for detecting a signal from the oscillator. The above arrangement is a "securement" for the oscillator and a "force detection unit" that is coupled to the "securement" and for detecting the reaction forces; e.g. transmitted vibrations, from the oscillating unit. Ohta *et al.* does not teach adjusting the oscillating unit with regard to the oscillating properties.

Kubena *et al.* teaches that it is known in the art to adjust a mechanical oscillator's properties. See column 1 (lines 65-67) through column 2 (lines 1-8) along with column 3 (lines 60-67) through column 4 (lines 1-15).

While Kubena *et al.* does not expressly teach "issuing a report", one of ordinary skill in the art would know to monitor the oscillating properties of the oscillating member in order to determine the amount of tuning needed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Ohta *et al.* with the teachings of Kubena *et al.* in

order to monitor the oscillating properties of the mechanical oscillator in order to determine the amount of tuning needed.

Page 4

6. Claims 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohta et al. and Kubena et al. as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of United States Patent 4,920,787 to Dual et al.

Ohta et al. and Kubena et al. teach the testing and tuning of an oscillating member. Ohta et al. and Kubena et al. do not teach an oscillating member having a single rod and detection using an accelerometer.

Dual et al. teaches that oscillating members can comprises a single rod. Furthermore, Dual et al. teaches that piezoelectric sensors can be used to detect the reactions of the single rod. Official notice is hereby taken that piezoelectric sensors would function as accelerometers and the movement of the piezoelectric sensor would be caused by the movement of the rod - which must accelerate the sensor in order to create the signal response.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Ohta et al. and Kubena et al. with the teachings of Dual et al. in order to use a single rod oscillator so that the proper oscillating properties can be determined and the device tuned for its preferred operation.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 20 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,096 Page 5

Art Unit: 2856

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to DAVID A. ROGERS whose telephone number is

(571)272-2205. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (0730 -

1600). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hezron E. Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David A. Rogers/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2856